

## PFAS Data Call Workgroup Meeting

July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2020

Participants: Chris Gorder, Leigh Callahan, Susan Sharkey, Walter Cybulski, Jessica Barkas, Sharon Cooperstein, Hannah Blaufuss, Diana Fahning, Alexis Lan, Virginia Lee, Kathy Schechter, Dan Bushman (TRI), Stephanie Schwarz, James Tyree, Loraine Passe

1. Quick Summary
2. Introduce new people
  - a. Alex Lan – office of water
3. De-brief of OPPT IO meeting – Had meeting with OPPT IO (Tala Henry)
  - a. Realigning Data Use document with the PFAS Action Plan
    - i. OPPT IO would like to see information reorganized to align with the PFAS action plan
    - ii. Hannah will send out to workgroup to get further feedback
  - b. Exemption rationale for PFAS scope
    - i. Tala suggested we create regulatory rationale for if we exclude any types of PFAS
    - ii. Stephanie – will work to identify all the exemptions before next meeting with Tala (week of July 20<sup>th</sup>)
    - iii. Larger list may be preferred for scope – burden driven. Still deciding list vs. broad definition for the scope.
  - c. Small business exemption outreach
    - i. We would recommend in the proposed rule our interpretation
    - ii. OGC/OPPT are working on plan for confirming small business exemption
  - d. Small manufacturers list
    - i. Tala wants to know how many small businesses are manufacturing PFAS
      1. Would also like the top 5 small business manufacturers
      2. Leigh can give the top 5 names of small manufacturers
        - a. Will give number of PFAS manufacturers based on ChemView definition of PFAS – 13 small businesses, 15 large businesses
  - e. CDR data overlap
    - i. Tala mentioned using CDR data, no need to collect double data.
    - ii. Would it be better to be use driven with data collection?
    - iii. Will look at this in next meeting with Tala if we want data to be use driven vs. overlapping with CDR
  - f. Individual stakeholder meetings vs public meeting
    - i. Tala brought up doing a public meeting to hear from stakeholders
    - ii. Individual stakeholder meetings may have most opportunity for focused engagement whereas public meetings may delve into other issues, get off-topic
    - iii. Questions could be put in proposed rule/prioritization white paper if there is a public meeting, but could also work to drive conversation in individual meetings – CCD is examining this option
    - iv. If workgroup members have other thoughts, reach out to Hannah or James.
  - g. Next Meeting with OPPT IO

- i. Next meeting will be week of July 20<sup>th</sup>. Then will have monthly meetings thereafter.
- 4. Regulatory Agenda Update
  - a. Will not be published in the spring in reg agenda, but in the fall.
- 5. Action Items
  - a. Hannah will send out realignment of data use into PFAS action plan to workgroup to get further feedback
  - b. Stephanie will work to identify all exemptions before next meeting with Tala (week of July 20th)
  - c. Leigh will follow up with names of top 5 small manufacturers
  - d. CCD will confirm use of CDR data vs. alternative data collection in next meeting with OPPT IO (Week of June 20)
  - e. Workgroup members to reach out if there are other thoughts or ideas on public meeting vs. individual meetings with stakeholders
  - f. James will share OneDrive with Sharon and Alex